

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/538,205	06/09/2005	Krishnamurthy Vaidyanathan	US020525 1788	
24737 7590 01/08/2008 PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS P.O. BOX 3001			EXAMINER	
			WALSH, JOHN B	
BRIARCLIFF	MANOR, NY 10510		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•		•	2151	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/08/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		VAIDYANATHAN ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	10/538,205	Art Unit			
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Examiner				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	John B. Walsh	correspondence address			
Period for Reply	cars on the cover crock was the				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the provision	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDON	N. timely filed m the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Stion is required if the drawing(s) is defined to the drawing(s) is defined to the drawing(s) is defined to the drawing(s).	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list ,	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applica rity documents have been recei u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ved in this National Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/9/05.	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:	Date			

10/538,205 Art Unit: 2151

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The following guidelines illustrate the preferred layout for the specification of a utility application. These guidelines are suggested for the applicant's use.

Arrangement of the Specification

As provided in 37 CFR 1.77(b), the specification of a utility application should include the following sections in order. Each of the lettered items should appear in upper case, without underlining or bold type, as a section heading. If no text follows the section heading, the phrase "Not Applicable" should follow the section heading:

- (a) TITLE OF THE INVENTION.
- (b) CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS.
- (c) STATEMENT REGARDING FEDERALLY SPONSORED RESEARCH OR DEVELOPMENT.
- (d) THE NAMES OF THE PARTIES TO A JOINT RESEARCH AGREEMENT.
- (e) INCORPORATION-BY-REFERENCE OF MATERIAL SUBMITTED ON A COMPACT DISC.
- (f) BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION.
 - (1) Field of the Invention.
 - (2) Description of Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.
- (g) BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION.
- (h) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE SEVERAL VIEWS OF THE DRAWING(S).
- (i) DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION.
- (i) CLAIM OR CLAIMS (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (k) ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (1) SEQUENCE LISTING (See MPEP § 2424 and 37 CFR 1.821-1.825. A "Sequence Listing" is required on paper if the application discloses a nucleotide or amino acid sequence as defined in 37 CFR 1.821(a) and if the required "Sequence Listing" is not submitted as an electronic document on compact disc).

Presently the Specification does not include any section headings.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

10/538,205 Art Unit: 2151

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-5, 7-12, 14-16, 19 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,272,627 to Mann.

As concerns claim 1, a method for storing at least one software specification for a plurality of processors in a multi-processor system, comprising the steps of: storing each unique software specification (col. 2, lines 30-33) in a memory; and storing a pointer (col. 2, lines 30-33) for each of said plurality of processors, each of said pointers identifying a location of said memory storing a software specification associated with said processor (col. 2, lines 30-33).

As concerns claims 2 and 9, further comprising the step of retrieving a pointer to a corresponding program software specification for a given processor (col. 2, lines 30-35).

As concerns claims 3 and 10, further comprising the step of retrieving said software specification identified by said pointer (col. 2, lines 30-33).

As concerns claims 4 and 11, further comprising the step of loading said retrieved software specification into said given processor (col. 2, lines 30-33).

As concerns claims 5 and 12, wherein each unique software specification has a uniform length (col. 3, line 27).

As concerns claims 7, 14 and 18, wherein at least one of said unique software specifications is stored in a compressed format using a keyword (col. 4, lines 31-32) that indicates that an associated operational code should be repeated.

As concerns claim 8, a multi-processor system, comprising: a plurality of processors (col. 2, line 52); and a memory (22,28,16) coupled to said plurality of processors, said memory

10/538,205 Art Unit: 2151

including: a first region for storing one or more unique software specifications (col. 1, lines 19-21) and a second region for storing a pointer (col. 1, lines 31-34) for each of said plurality of processors, each of said pointers identifying a location of said memory storing a software specification associated with said processor.

As concerns claim 15, a method for loading a software specification into a processor in a multi-processor system, comprising the steps of: retrieving a pointer associated with said processor that identifies a corresponding software specification in a memory; retrieving said corresponding software specification identified by said pointer; and loading said retrieved software specification into said processor (col. 1, lines 19-35; col. 2, lines 30-35).

As concerns claim 16, the method of claim 15, wherein said software specification has a predefined length (col. 3, line 27).

As concerns claim 19, the method of claim 18, further comprising the step of expanding said operational code an indicated number of times (col. 4, lines 20-32).

As concerns claim 20, the method of claim 18, wherein said software specification is stored only once in said memory (col. 5, lines 7-8).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

10/538,205 Art Unit: 2151

5. Claims 6, 13 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,272,627 to Mann as applied above in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,825,830 to Kopf.

Mann '627 does not explicitly disclose wherein one or more of said pointers are encoded using run length encoding techniques.

Kopf '830 teach run length encoding (abstract).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide the system of Mann '627 with run length encoding, as taught Kopf '830, in order to provide a means of compressing data. Such a modification is a combination of known elements yielding predictable results.

Conclusion

- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. See PTO-892.
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John B. Walsh whose telephone number is 571-272-7063. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 7:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Follansbee can be reached on 571-272-3964. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

10/538,205 Art Unit: 2151

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

John B. Walsh Primary Examiner Art Unit 2151